

United States S Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION,NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/637,512	08/11/2000	Stanley M. Goldin	42,982 C3-CPA-C	1159
759	90 03/27/2002			
David G Conlin			EXAMINER	
Dike Bronstein I 130 Water Stree	Roberts & Cushman t		O SULLIVA	N, PETER G
Boston, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
	·		DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002	: 7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

O9/637,512

Examiner
Peter O'Sullivan

Applicant(s)

Goldin et al.

Art Unit
1621

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE M - Extension - If the be - If NO cor - Failure	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ISSIONS OF time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, considered timely. I period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory promunication.	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed		
Status 1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti			
	This determ to the self-transfer expect for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s) <u>5-23</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) X	Claim(s) 1-4 and 24	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆		is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are The proposed drawing correction filed on The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.		
13)	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) □ Some* c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received. ve been received in Application No		
+ş 14)□	application from the international but See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ne certified copies not received.		
Attachr	nent(s)			
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
, .	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) 🔲	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/637,512

Art Unit: 1621

, *****

- 1. Claims 1-24 are pending in this application which should be reviewed for errors. In response to the restriction requirement, applicants elected group I, claims 1-15 and 24 with traverse. Upon the further requirement for the election of a single disclosed species, applicants' elected the species of N-(4-sec-butylphenyl)-N-(4-tertbutylbenzyl)guanidine. Applicants' guanidines which are N-benzyl, N-phenyl substituted are examined therewith with all other compounds and claims 5-15 held withdrawn.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-4 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Douglas et al. '077 who disclose compounds given by the formula at the bottom of column 1 to be ganglionic blocking agents. X1 and X2 may be substituents overlapping applicants' and R may

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/637,512

Art Unit: 1621

be aryl. R1-R3 may be hydrogen. Douglas et al. disclose N,N-disubstituted guanidines in the examples. The instant invention differs from the teaching of Douglas in that Douglas makes close, but not overlapping compounds. It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious at the time the invention was made to start with the teaching of Douglas et al., to make generically disclosed compounds in view of close N,N-disubstituted guanidines actually made and to expect them to be useful as ganglionic blocking agents.

- 4. Claims 1-4 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber et al. Weber et al. disclose N,N' disubstituted guanidines wherein the substituents may be aryl or aralkyl optionally substituted by applicants' substituents. The compounds of Weber et al. have utility in the treatment of stroke, neurodegenerative diseases, etc., but differ from applicants' compounds as position isomers. It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to start with the teaching of Weber, but to make N,N-disubstituted compounds and to expect them to be useful in the treatment of stroke, neurodegenerative diseases, etc. Position isomers are held to be obvious. In re Mills, 126 U.S.P.Q. 513.
- 5. No claim is allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Peter O'Sullivan at telephone number (703) 308-4526.

PETER O'SULLIVAN PRIMARY EXAMINES GROUP 1200